

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,129	04/27/2001	Gordon W. Duff	MSA-010.03	1917
25181 7	590 10/21/2002			
FOLEY HOA		EXAMINER		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
BOSTON, MA 02110-2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	1,
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	Ų

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

09/845,129

Art Unit Arun Chakrabarti

1634

Duff

		i de la		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In (no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the	date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.		
- Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	расын сыш айрыстын. өөө өт огн т.точр).			
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 7, 20	02		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.		
<i>3)</i> 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-20, and 29-40</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
<i>5)</i> 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-6, 8-20, and 29-40	is/are rejected.		
71 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers			
<i>9)</i> 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example	iner.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All bl☐ Some* cl☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage		
*5	application from the international Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
a)[The translation of the foreign language provisiona	al application has been received.		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachn	nent(s)			
1) 🗌 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) 🗌 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🗌 Ir	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Great Britain on May 29, 1997.

Specification

2. Claims 7, 21-28, and 41-57 have been canceled without prejudice towards further prosecution. Claims 1, 8, and 29 have been amended.

Double Patenting

- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-20, and 29-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 8-57 of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,142. (July 31, 2001). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the species of alleles of IL-1 inflammatory haplotype of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,142 (claims 1, 8, 21, 29, 41, and 48) are the same inflammatory haplotypes 44112332 and 33441461 (claims 1, 8, and 29 as amended) of the instant application.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129

Art Unit: 1634

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

Response to Amendment

5. In response to amendment, the statutory type double patenting rejection, 112 (first paragraph) rejection and 103(a) rejection have been withdrawn. However, obviousness type double patenting rejection has been maintained.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on October 7, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is hereby informed that the inflammatory haplotypes 44112332 and 33441461 (claims 1, 8, and 29 as amended) of the instant application are exactly same as IL-1

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

inflammatory haplotype of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,142 (claims 1, 8, 21, 29, 41, and 48) as described in the specification (Page 18, line 6 to page 19, line 14). Therefore, the obviousness type double patenting rejection has been maintained properly.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. Any inquiry of a general nature or

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129

Art Unit: 1634

relating to the status of this application should be directed tithe Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located In Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published In the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Arun Chakrabarti

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1634

October 16, 2002

W. Gary Jones

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600